

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/616,598	Applicant(s) CAVALLARO ET AL.	
	Examiner D. S. Nakarani	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:

(1) D. S. Nakarani.

(2) Mr. Simon B. Anolick.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 January 2006

Time: 10:10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Anolick agreed to cancel claim 3 and claim 22 to avoid rejection of claim 3 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and claims 2 and 22 are duplicate claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

D. S. Nakarani .. 1/23/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)